

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,586	04/25/2005	Kenji Saito	2005_0635A	4361
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER	
			SCRUGGS, ROBERT J	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any repty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/28/07.  2a) This action is FINAL.  - 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Is since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-13,15 and 16 is/are pending in the application.  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  - 5) Claim(s) 1,3-13,15 and 16 is/are rejected.  - 7) Claim(s) 1,3-13,15 and 16 is/are rejected.  - 7) Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  - 8) Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  - 8) Claim(s) 5 filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  - Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		H					
Examiner   Robert Scruggs   3723		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Robert Scruggs 3723  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - If NO period for reby is specified above, the maintenance of the cover sheet, however, was a heap be unique and an experimentation.  - If NO period for reby is specified above, the maintenance and the St. (b) (MONTHS from the maining date of this communication).  - If NO period for reby is specified above, the maintenance and the St. (b) MONTHS from the realing cate of this communication.  - If NO period for reby is specified above, the maintenance and the specified on the period for reby is specified above. The maintenance and the specified on the period of the communication, specified above, the maintenance and the specified on the specified on the specified above and specified on the specified above and specified a	Office Action Summany	·					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elamination for them may be available under the provision of 30°CRT 1-18(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely field.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum situation period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  Failure to reply while this set or excented period for reply is specified above, the maximum situation period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  Failure to reply while this set or excented period for reply will be shaded above. The maximum situation period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  Failure to reply while this set or excented period for reply will be shaded.  Provided by the office of the state of the maximum situation period will apply and under period will apply and under communication.  **Provided by the office of the state of the maximum situation period will apply and under communication.  **Provided by the state of	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING PAIR OF THE STATUTORY OF THE PRIOR OF THE PAIR OF THE PAI		1	1				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Enteriors of the may be available under the provision of 3 or FA1 13500, In no aware, however, may a reply be limbly flied after 5X (5) MONTIS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply view the first of the communication of the provision of the provision of the communication of the provision of the provision of the provision of the communication of the provision of the prov	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/28/07.  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-13.15 and 16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) 1,3-13.15 and 16 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) 1,12.13.15 and 16 is/are rejected to.  8)□ Claim(s) 1,12.13.15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) 1,12.13.15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers 10)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the altached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * o)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing</li> </ul>	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the triple and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-13,15 and 16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-13,15 and 16 is/are rejected.  7 ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-13,15 and 16 is/are rejected.  7 ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are rejected.  Application Papers  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Application and of claim is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application and of claim is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application and of claim is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  10 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Status						
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1.3-13.15 and 16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s)							
Al Claim(s) 1,3-13,15 and 16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,3-13,15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1,12,13,15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Torawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB08) 5) □ Notice of Information Patent Application	3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr					
4)  Claim(s) 1.3-13.15 and 16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1.3-13.15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 1.12.13.15 and 16 is/are rejected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1.12.13.15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· ·	.x parte Quayle, 1955 O.D. 11, -	100 0.0. 210.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) ② is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1.3-13.15 and 16 is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) 1.12.13.15 and 16 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/58/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-13.15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1.12.13.15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) Claim(s) <u>1,12,13,15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) <u>1,12,13,15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  10 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  4. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. This office action is in response to the amendment received on March 28, 2007. Claims 1, 3-13, 15 and 16 remain pending in the application.

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 11, 12, 13, 15 and 16 are objected to because of the following 2. In claims 1 and 11 the applicant discloses that the liquid medium informalities: "optionally" includes an oxidizing material and also that a "hydrogen atom may be present". These claims should be re-written to exclude these features because it leaves the claims somewhat vague (does the liquid medium actually require the oxidizing material, does the oxidizing material actually require a hydrogen atom and is the hydrocarbon part of the oxidizing material?). The examiner will assume that an oxidizing material must be present and includes a hydrocarbon with a hydrogen atom. The examiner would like to note that claims 12, 13, 15, and 16 should be deleted since they are products by process claims, which appear to be merely a vacuum member having a polished inner surface. No further structure appears to be claimed. It doesn't make a difference if the inner surface is polished with the currently claimed liquid medium, having "saturated hydrocarbon", or other polishing methods, as long as one meets the structural features of the product (see MPEP 2113). Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/532,586

Art Unit: 3723

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 1, 3-13, 15 and 16 are Finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 4. unpatentable over Higuchi et al. (previously cited) in view of Noguchi et al. (previously cited) and Kusano et al. (5041304). Higuchi et al. and Noguchi et al. as mentioned in the applicant's specification (see specification, pages 3-6) disclose the known process of surface treating an inner surface of a vacuum member by first mechanically polishing the vacuum member with a liquid medium containing hydrogen atoms, then subjecting the vacuum member to a chemical or electrochemical polishing process. Higuchi et al. and Noguchi et al. also disclose the use of an oxidizing material formed as water which could be added to the liquid medium (see paragraph 6 of Higuchi et al.) however Higuchi et al. and Noguchi et al. lack a liquid medium absent of any hydrogen atoms where said liquid medium being a hydrocarbon in a molecule of which the hydrogen atom or hydrogen atoms are all substituted with a fluorine atom or fluorine atoms. However, Kusano et al. teaches of a surface treatment method including, an unsaturated hydrocarbon compound (column 2, Line 57) under ordinary pressure (atmospheric is considered ordinary, column 2, Lines 14-21) and ordinary temperature (column 4, Line 62) and wherein the hydrogen atoms are replaced with fluorine atoms (column 2, Line 57-61) for imparting a low surface energy thereby providing a smooth surface on the workpiece. The compound disclosed by Kusano et al. is a gaseous compound however regardless of the medium, gas, liquid or even solid, since Kusano et al. teaches a compound having the same effect or benefit as claimed by the applicant,

Art Unit: 3723

which is to impart a smooth surface on the workpiece, the mediums could be interchangeable. Therefore, Kusano et al. is used to teach of a medium including hydrocarbon compound including hydrogen atoms, where said hydrogen atoms are replaced with fluorine atoms. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the liquid medium used in the known process, of Higuchi et al. and Noguchi et al. with a liquid medium formed as an unsaturated hydrocarbon compound under ordinary pressure and ordinary temperature, wherein the hydrogen atoms are replaced with fluorine atoms, as taught by Kusano et al. in order to provide a workpiece having a reduced surface energy and a low coefficient of friction thereby providing for a much smoother surface.

## Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed March 28, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant contends that, "Kusano et al. teaches away from the use of a saturated hydrocarbon."
  - a. The examiner respectfully disagrees with this statement. Kusano et al. mentions that some of the materials chosen in the prior art were not as satisfactory as claimed in their invention. This does not mean that all saturated materials are unsatisfactory, only the ones used in the prior art. Furthermore, the applicant discloses (see example 1, pages 35 and 36 of specification) that FC-77 is used as the saturated material. Kusano et al. teaches of using various FC

Application/Control Number: 10/532,586

Art Unit: 3723

(Fluorinert) materials (see column 3, lines 45-48). These cyclic hydrocarbons can

Page 5

also be saturated since FC-77 could be selected depending on the desire of the

user. The purpose is to provide a surface on the vacuum member, which Kusano

et al. does disclose. Therefore, the examiner believes the rejection is proper and

thus maintained.

7. Applicant contends that, "the surface treatment. Kusano et al. neither

disclose nor suggest using a medium for a surface treatment. Further, although

the Examiner asserts that mediums (gas, liquid or solid) could be

interchangeable, the Examiner has provided no evidence to support this."

b. Since, Kusano et al. teaches of a compound having the same effect or

benefit as claimed by the applicant, which is to impart a smooth surface on the

workpiece, the mediums could be interchangeable. Fluorinating a hydrocarbon is

old and well known in the art especially when a user desires to have a water

repellent material. Providing a gas, liquid or a solid to accomplish this concept is

not novel because all yield an object with similar properties. Therefore, Kusano et

al. can be used to teach that a medium comprising a hydrocarbon compound

including hydrogen atoms, where said hydrogen atoms are replaced with fluorine

atoms for surface treatment of a workpiecee.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Scruggs whose telephone number is 571-272-8682. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,586

Art Unit: 3723

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RS

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700

2 Hails